II. Description of Promising Approaches

Family Involvement in Managed Care Systems

As noted, promising approaches for family involvement in the context of managed care systems were identified through the Tracking Project's state surveys and impact analyses. Descriptive information on these promising features were obtained through three methods: (1) site visits to Massachusetts and Delaware County, Pennsylvania involving extensive interviews with key stakeholders, (2) telephone interviews with representatives of New Jersey’s Partnership for Children Initiative, and (3) review of documents on all of the identified approaches. For each site, essential background is presented and specific strategies for involving families at all levels in planning, implementation and refining managed care systems, along with a more in-depth description of how the approach actually works.

• Massachusetts Behavioral Health Partnership

Background

The Massachusetts statewide behavioral health carve out was implemented in 1992. Operating with an 1115 Waiver, the Waiver permits the state Medicaid agency to contract with a managed care organization for mental health and substance abuse services. After many years of Medicaid managed care in Massachusetts, an important threshold has been reached in substantive family involvement in the state's managed care system. This is due to the work of the Family Advisory Council operating under a for profit behavioral health organization — the Massachusetts Behavioral Health Partnership (MBHP).

"MBHP established the Family Advisory Council to help give the managed care administration direction and vision." (MBHP administrator)

The Family Advisory Council has mixed representation, which includes family members of adults and children as well as representatives of state mental health, mental retardation, child welfare and juvenile justice agencies. This has allowed for greater focus on family issues.

The Department of Mental Health (DMH) remains the state authority for mental health services with oversight responsibility but the operational responsibility is with the State Medicaid Agency. DMH is organized into six geographic areas, each of which is managed by an Area Director. Each Area is divided into Local Service Sites. Each Site provides case management and oversees an integrated system of state and vendor operated adult and child/adolescent mental health services. Most planning, budget development, program monitoring, contracting, quality improvement and citizen monitoring emanate from Site and Area offices. DMH, parents, service providers and other stakeholders crafted the following principles, which are included in all DMH child and adolescent contracts, including managed care contracts. One of the standard questions in proposal evaluation tools ask reviewers to rate the degree to which the submitted proposal conforms to these principles:
DMH Family Collaboration Principles

1. Parents/guardians should be viewed as the partners of professionals in the treatment of children with mental health problems.

2. Parents/guardians have the day-to-day responsibility for child-rearing and are major support for their children throughout their lives, and thus have the need for and the right to full information about their children’s problems, and the range of available interventions and supports.

3. Parents/guardians and professionals bring different perspective to bear on issues, and each benefits from understanding the other’s perspectives. Policies, services and supports need to be designed and evaluated with significant input from parents/guardians as well as from professionals.

4. Parents/guardians have valuable information to share with each other, and can also be sources of emotional support to each other.

5. Parents/guardians have the right and responsibility to advocate at the local, state, and national levels to secure services beneficial for children with mental health needs.

State Level Family Organization

Preparing and Supporting Families for System Level Involvement

The national momentum and leadership that system of care activities created in the early 80’s spearheaded policy development in Massachusetts in the area of families as allies at the policy table. In 1989, the Department of Mental Health (DMH), the state mental health authority, funded a staff position for the Parent Professional Advocacy League (PAL). The services for which DMH contracted with PAL were: (1) ensuring that the perspectives of parents are heard as they relate to policy and program issues affecting children’s mental health, and, (2) promoting family collaboration in mental health practice through professional development activities.” For two years, PAL’s parent leader traveled the state, meeting with professionals in children’s mental health and talking about the need to establish a supportive, family-centered system of care and providing family members of children with serious behavioral health disorders with mutual support and resources related to their children's conditions and information about DMH’s commitment to family voices being part of policy and program decisions.

In 1992, DMH used federal block grant funds to expand family voice across the state. Parent coordinator positions were established in two of the six DMH Areas, and later expanded to all of the DMH Areas. One of the early steps taken by DMH to prepare and support families for system level involvement in planning and policymaking was resource allocation to the parent coordinators for travel to national conferences to learn what other states were doing in the development of family organizations, in the development and implementation of family support programs and in helping to shape behavioral health systems. During the following year, a total of ten family support groups were established throughout the state. A variety of training sessions were held for the parent coordinators to expand their skills and to support their work.
About the same time that parent coordinators were hired in the six sites, the Commissioner of DMH instructed that a DMH Core Curriculum be drawn up, and, that within a three-to-five year period, every DMH state and provider employee be instructed in the components of this curriculum. A Department of Mental Health Task Force Committee was set up to develop the curriculum and the Executive Director of PAL was named as co-chair of the Committee.

Since many agencies were involved in varied training activities, a statewide needs assessment was conducted to determine which components of the Core Curriculum needed more immediate attention. Seven areas were identified as needing more precise clinical practice guidelines: the role of the consumer, the role of the family, dual diagnosis (mentally ill/chemically addicted), alternatives to restraint and seclusion, psychosocial rehabilitation, multicultural issues, and gerontology.

In 1997, the DMH Core Curriculum *Promoting Family Collaboration in the Child/Adolescent Mental Health Service System* was published. DMH asked each DMH state and provider agency to incorporate these guidelines into practice so that each DMH family could receive a reasonable and consistent standard of care in any community across Massachusetts.

PAL exists within and reflects the matrix management design of the state ([Figure 1](#)). There are seven different non-profit organizations holding parent coordinator contracts throughout the state. While the statewide office of PAL has no direct authority over or supervisory relationships with the parent coordinators, written into the parent coordinators’ contracts are the following requirements: (1) parent coordinators will communicate on a regular basis with PAL on the issues of concern to parents in the community; (2) parent coordinators will serve as a conduit through which PAL can distribute information; and (3) parent coordinators will notify PAL of public policy concerns needing citizen action.
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**Figure 1**

*Parent/Professional Advocacy League (PAL) Collaborative*
Involving Families in Managed Care Systems

In the early stages when state officials were developing their outline of how managed care would operate in Massachusetts, DMH created seats at the Medicaid table for PAL and the parent coordinators. As members of coalitions, networks, policy boards and planning committees in each of their areas, family members brought this broad range of experiences and expertise to the discussions of managed care systems. Their involvement led to increased understanding of and responsiveness to family issues and concerns. The Family Advisory Council has closely monitored quality of care efforts of Medicaid’s statewide mental health/substance abuse managed care organization — MBHP. Through the committee's performance review activities, MBHP has been put on notice about requiring and carefully monitoring services that respond to the needs and concerns of families. PAL also played a modest part in the development of the structure and terms of the Medicaid/MBHP relationship, including its performance incentives and penalties.

In the initial planning of the managed care system with Medicaid, PAL and other stakeholders did not have the background knowledge of the system players and did a great deal of listening. However, it was reportedly a useful learning experience, and when the new contract season rolled around, family members had become experienced in mental health systems issues and design, and had made themselves part of a wide variety of advocacy efforts in legislative, legal and policy areas in partnership with other families, consumers, trade and professional groups in both mental health and health care reform.

For PAL and other family members on the Family Advisory Council developing an effective committee process has resulted in good working levels of information sharing, dialogue and substantive MBHP response to committee issues. The presence and influence of state agency committee members was key to breaking through this impasse.

“This was a great process. As enlightened as I thought my thinking process was, it has changed light years. A year and a half ago, family and consumer issues — really — weren’t even on my screen. Now I have internalized the perspective, what do families think? Now I am not going to move forward on a lot of things without checking with families first. I have a new healthy respect for families’ experience. I make sure now that PAL is on the same professional level as sister agencies. I now consult and discuss with PAL as I do with them.” (State Medicaid Official)

Program and Staff Roles for Families

A major focus of family members who are employed in the system is to develop culturally competent, team-centered systems of care. Although each local family network has a set of objectives based on their community’s culture, one goal they share is listening to families and bringing their issues to PAL. One major concern many families report is fragmentation of service delivery. There are multiple state agencies that are in one way or another responsible for the delivery of services to children with emotional, behavioral or mental health needs. There is no single agency responsible for the delivery of behavioral health services for children and adolescents. The intricacies of the Massachusetts behavioral health system requires families to be involved with and knowledgeable about
DMH, Department of Education, Department of Youth Services, Department of Social Services and the Division of Medical Assistance (Medicaid) policies. PAL, through its parent coordinator networks, strives to bridge the gaps between the different systems.

Massachusetts took advantage of a strong parent network in the Southeast part of the state to launch a pilot Collaborative Assessment Program (CAP). CAP is targeted to youngsters with serious emotional disorders who are on the brink of out-of-home placement but fall outside the purview of either the child welfare or mental health agencies. A jointly funded assessment and crisis stabilization service has the authority, post assessment, to assign the child and family to a specific state agency. Family input during the planning of CAP strongly recommended the inclusion of a parent position on the CAP team. As a result, when DMH, Department of Social Services and DMA (Medicaid) expanded CAP statewide, this program incorporated parents as family partners from the first day of assessment. Family partners are linked with incoming families to offer support and guidance, which can be maintained long after the assessment ends. Parents, along with DMH and DSS, participated in the hiring of the CAP project director.

The Department of Youth Services (DYS), the Massachusetts juvenile justice agency, is planning to offer parent support activities to parents of youngsters in DYS custody who have mental health problems. PAL has been the motivational organizing entity and continuing advocate for expanding the role of parents employed in other child-serving agencies.

A new and promising direction for interagency focus is in the development of outcomes for children who receive services from multiple systems. DMH has convened a process with DSS, Medicaid, Massachusetts Behavioral Health Partnership (the Medicaid managed care entity), provider groups and trade associations, and families to decide on a standardized tool that will be used by all public purchasers. Family members will assume a leadership role in crafting family relevant outcomes.

**Involving Families on Advisory and Monitoring Structures**

Within the DMH system, parents are represented on advisory boards internal and external to the Department. They are involved in assessments of the existing systems of care, and in the reconfiguration of the service array and development of new program designs. PAL helps to organize focus groups and other activities to learn the opinions of families and youth for the purpose of giving feedback to MBHP. In addition, parents are represented on all proposal review committees related to children's mental health services procurement.

PAL and Massachusetts state agencies partner with MBHP to share data, generate reports, analyze findings, and make recommendations at the monthly meetings of the Family Advisory Council. Topics such as the needs of Massachusetts’s youth and policies and programs on mental health and substance abuse are addressed. PAL helps set the agenda each month, and the Director of PAL serves as co-chair. MBHP provides stipends to parents and offers trainings free of charge. MBHP routinely has PAL members review drafts of printed materials for the MCO.
Involving Families in Accountability

One of the many effective ways for family advocates to influence the quality and delivery of managed mental health services is to play a role in developing performance standards. MBHP invited hospital providers, auxiliary providers, consumers and family members to the table to hammer out performance standards for hospital, crisis and diversionary care.

Stakeholders, including family members, reviewed existing requirements, and, with some exceptions, agreed upon standards covering everything from components of care, aftercare planning, family involvement, continuing care, care level definitions and requirements, service linkage, staffing, assessments, treatment protocols, quality improvement, disposition planning, and performance and outcome measures.

Some specific children’s hospital standards included: the availability of at least two-times-a-week inpatient family sessions; broad parental access to inpatient units; clarification of telephone access by youth; family signoff on treatment plans; staff training in family issues; closer linkages with aftercare; immediate discharge information available to families for schools and other community resources; discrete emergency protocols for children; 24-hour child psychiatrist phone availability for emergency consultation; and follow-up contacts with children and families not accepted for inpatient care.

“If I sat in my office until late at night, I wouldn’t have been able to come up with the range and depth of these standards. They are definitely coming from the family and consumer perspective more than other performance standards I have seen. It’s not a 100 percent consumer/advocate document, and some of the outcome measures are soft background music, but enough of them are ‘you must change this by this date.’” (MBHP’s Director of Network Management)

This participatory approach to standard development demonstrates how a managed care organization can use advocates to find out how the service system is working and improve its performance, with advocates monitoring that performance. MCO staffers can also use advocates’ demands internally to make change from the ground level up. Providers can turn to the demands for quality services by advocates when negotiating budgets for their services. In understanding and sparking these dynamics, PAL and its network of advocates influenced quality of care and gained a greater sense of their own effectiveness.
Pennsylvania — HealthChoices Behavioral Health Services

Background

Pennsylvania’s managed care system, **HealthChoices**, was implemented in 1997 to provide managed medical, psychiatric, and substance abuse services to Medicaid recipients in a five-county area. Expanding to ten counties in 1999 and phasing in an additional ten counties in 2000, the goal of the managed care system is to “improve the accessibility, continuity, and quality of services” for the state’s Medicaid population, while controlling costs. HealthChoices designed behavioral health services as a carve out.

By the time that managed care was implemented, Pennsylvania had a long history and substantial base of accomplishments in building parent/professional partnerships and community-based systems of care for children with serious behavioral health disorders and their families. The relationship between families raising children with mental health needs, **Parents Involved Network (PIN)** and **Pennsylvania’s Child and Adolescent Service System Program (CASSP)** expanded when the state received a federal CASSP grant. PIN operates a statewide support, advocacy, technical assistance and information and referral resource center for families of children with emotional or behavior disorders. PIN is the Pennsylvania State Organization for the Federation of Families for Children’s Mental Health. PIN’s main office is in Philadelphia, and has offices in five other counties, including Delaware County.

In 1985 when the state began to implement the (CASSP) grant, it placed a CASSP coordinator in each of its counties to:

- hire child mental health specialists in its regional offices
- expand the children’s mental health focus at the state level
- provide significant levels of training and technical assistance to agencies and providers on the system of care philosophy and approach, as well as on clinical best practices for children and adolescents

The introduction of managed care was seen as a strategic opportunity to strengthen the state’s commitment to systems of care by incorporating the CASSP values, principles, and approaches into managed care system policy.
The application of these principles was expected at all levels of an organization serving children with mental health needs and within all children’s mental health functions at the state and local levels, including the behavioral health managed care carve out. The state developed a Readiness Assessment Instrument (RAI), which gauged the readiness of counties for managed care and family involvement. Standards related to family involvement covered a wide array of systems-level activities, including: grievance and appeals process; quality assurance; program oversight; development of member handbooks; development of satisfaction surveys and participation on consumer satisfaction teams.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CASSP Principles</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Child-Centered</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services are planned to meet the individual needs of the child, rather than fit the child into an existing services. Services consider the child’s family and community contexts, are developmentally appropriate and child-specific, and also build on the strengths of the child and family to meet the mental health, social and physical needs of the child.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family-Focused</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services recognize that the family is the primary support system for the child. The family participates as a full partner in all stages of the decision making and treatment planning process, including implementation, monitoring, and evaluation. A family may include biological, adoptive, and foster parents, siblings, grandparents and other relatives, and other adults who are committed to the child. The development of mental health policy at state and local levels includes family representation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community-Based</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whenever possible, services are delivered in the child’s home community, drawing on formal and informal resources to promote the child’s successful participation in the community. Community resources include not only mental health professionals and provider agencies, but also social, religious, and cultural organizations and other natural community support networks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multi-System</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services are planned in collaboration with all the child-serving systems involved in the child’s life. Representatives from all these systems and the family collaborate to define the goals for the child, develop a service plan, develop the necessary resources to implement the plan, provide appropriate support to the child and family, and evaluate progress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Culturally Competent</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture determines our world view and provides a general design for living and patterns for interpreting reality that are reflected in our behavior. Therefore, services that are culturally competent are provided by individuals who have the skills to recognize and respect the behavior, ideas, attitudes, values, beliefs, customs, language, rituals, ceremonies, and practices characteristic of a particular group of people.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Least Restrictive/Least Intrusive</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services take place in settings that are the most appropriate and natural for the child and family and are the least restrictive and intrusive available to meet the needs of the child and family.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The state held managed care-related and CASSP-related training of providers and other stakeholders at the CASSP Training Institute located at Penn State University. Trainings included CASSP values and principles; families as partners; clinical documentation; wraparound process; attention deficit disorders; treatment planning and goal setting; discharge planning; writing skills for managed care; therapeutic staff support in the schools; strengths-based assessments; use of natural supports in a managed care environment; use of outcome measures; working with youth with mental retardation; and, clinical supervision and mobile therapies. Through a partnership with the state’s Medicaid agency, the Bureau of Children’s Services (the agency within the Department of Public Welfare responsible for children’s mental health) worked to infuse the system of care philosophy in the HealthChoices behavioral health carve out through its Request for Proposals (RFPs), contracts, readiness reviews, and performance and compliance monitoring processes.

PIN organized parents across the state to ensure distinct children’s services were in the RFP development. PIN family members reviewed the RFP, gave public testimony, and as a result much of PIN’s comments were reflected in the final RFP document.
• Delaware County PA DelCare Program — Local Approach

Involving Families in Managed Care

In Pennsylvania, each county is responsible for HealthChoices Behavioral Health Services and can either be the managed care entity or subcontract to a for profit or non-profit entity. In Delaware County, the Department of Human Services (Medicaid) subcontracts with Magellan Behavioral Health to perform the managed care functions. The county Office of Behavioral Health subcontracts with the Parents Involved Network of Pennsylvania (PIN) of the Mental Health Association of Southeastern Pennsylvania to help families educate one another (peer-to-peer training) about managed care and to ensure that families share decision-making power and responsibility in the managed care system. Additionally, funds are used for a three-day training for the BH-MCO (Magellan) and repeated annually for new staff. Other trainings include line level staff across all children’s systems. Magellan also provides funding to PIN for help with transportation and child-care to facilitate family involvement at meetings and to pay family members to be involved on committees.

Delaware County was the first county to implement HealthChoices. It had a substantial base of accomplishments in building a community-based system of care for children with serious behavioral health disorders and their families. One outstanding accomplishment was the partnership developed with the Delaware County PIN organization and its members.

Before the advent of managed care in Delaware County, Delaware PIN family members learned all that they could by attending state level activities focused on managed care. The state office of PIN held extensive trainings on managed care for families across the state. In a general session at a PIN Advocacy Conference, a lawyer from the Pennsylvania Health Law Project led a discussion about family involvement in managed care. He cited where family involvement is legally mandated and provided the specific language used in the state’s Request for Proposals (RFPs). Following the presentation, participants developed a list of strategies and approaches for families to try in their counties. Other informational resources were: member handbooks which explained how each of the managed care programs would work in each county with telephone numbers to call for information about each county’s managed care program; newsletters focused on managed care with articles on:

- What is Managed Care?
- How Does Managed Care Operate?
- What Does the Term Utilization Mean?
- What Parents Can Do to Impact the Managed Care System?

To maximize family members participation and influence in the managed care initial planning meetings, PIN leaders attended national conferences and in-state workshops, formed coalitions with other stakeholders to build capacity for children’s issues, and gathered information to develop informational packets for peer-to-peer training. The variety of resources PIN offers on managed care are:

- Principles for Family Involvement in Managed Care
- Fact sheets on managed care
• Guidelines for Family Involvement in Managed Care
• Glossary of terms used in managed care
• A Guide to Interagency Service Planning Team Meetings (also referred to as CASSP Team Meetings)

Involving Families on Advisory Structures

HealthChoices’ performance monitoring system has indicators tied to family involvement in system planning, oversight and refinement. RFPs and contracts require that for children with serious disorders who are involved in multiple systems, BH-MCO’s are required to have letters of agreement in place with county child welfare, juvenile probation and substance abuse agencies and with local school districts that address coordination of service planning and delivery. As mentioned earlier, Magellan provides funding to ensure families serve on the advisory structures.

Family members in Delaware County participate on the following committees:
• CASSP Advisory Committee
• Provider Advisory Boards
• Reinvestment planning
• Consumer and Family Advisory Group
• Mental Health/Mental Retardation Advisory Boards
• Children’s Cabinet
• Children’s Coalition
• Quality Assurance Committee

PIN staff routinely are included as members of program review teams that visit and evaluate all child-serving programs including visits to family-based mental health programs and residential treatment facilities. This means they look at both structures and practices at all levels to see if they are consistent with and promote the six CASSP principles.

Requirements for Family Involvement at the System Level

Readiness Assessment Instrument (RAI)

When the state examined Delaware County’s readiness to implement managed care, PIN family members were part of the readiness review team that examined Delaware County. The RAI addresses readiness with respect to the following areas:
• In-plan services and provider network
• Service access
• Care management and utilization management
• Coordination of care/interagency letters of agreement
• Member services
• Member complaint
• Grievance and appeal system
• Executive management
• Quality assurance
• Consumer and family satisfaction
• MIS
• Provider claims processing
• Encounter data
• Performance outcomes
• County financial requirements
• County solvency requirements
• BH-MCO subcontractor financial and solvency requirements

Family Involvement in Monitoring Activities

The state Office of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services has created a performance monitoring system, tied to a Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) process. The county/BH-MCOs must submit for approval their quality management plans and their QI structure, including plans for including consumers/families in the QI process.

Delaware County’s Office of Behavioral Health and Magellan developed a DelCare Quality Improvement Plan for HealthChoice. Evaluation of consumer and family perceptions and experience is a critical component of this quality improvement process.

Delaware County PIN developed the first Family Satisfaction Team (FST) in Pennsylvania to specifically focus on families whose children have emotional, behavioral and substance abuse issues. The Family Satisfaction Team is composed of a team leader and three team members composed of parents whose children have emotional disorders and a young adult who has received services through the children’s behavioral healthcare system. The team assesses family satisfaction with service delivery and the process. The team’s motto is: “Evaluating Satisfaction Through Family Interaction”, and interaction is the key word, as the feedback from families is the impetus to strengthen and improve the quality of services provided to them.

The process developed by the team focuses first on surveying parents who have recently participated in interagency service planning team meetings. The domains covered by the survey include: (1) a cover letter of introduction to PIN/FST; (2) a choice survey asking families how they would like to be interviewed (phone, focus group, one on one); (3) a permission form to interview their child or adolescent; and (4) a return address stamped envelope to be sent back to PIN. Upon receipt of the survey, PIN conducts focus groups, meets one on one, or speaks over the telephone and gathers families’ input on how they feel about specific services. Findings from the survey are compiled and reported on a regular basis to Magellan, the County, and providers. PIN guarantees that families’ responses and comments will be treated confidentially. This type of feedback gathering has been extremely validating to families and PIN reports that the process itself leads to “satisfaction”.

Another part of the FST is to determine family satisfaction with access to services. Whenever wraparound behavioral health services for children are considered under EPSDT (Early Periodic Screening, Diagnosis and Treatment) a meeting is required to access services. The interagency team participants consist of all agencies, systems, and community supports involved in the child’s life. At the conclusion of the meeting, families are given a written survey asking their opinion on the interagency team meeting process by the managed care company. The surveys are returned to PIN FST, and the results are compiled into a report. The results are shared with Magellan and the County Office of Behavioral Health. Once a month, a meeting is held with Magellan, the County and the
providers who provide wraparound services through EPSDT. The information is also reported to the Consumer and Family Advisory Committee and Quality Assurance Committee, which monitor the DelCare Program. As a result of this process, the following actions have taken place:

- Providers have developed methods to ensure that families can bring an advocate and/or support person to team meetings.
- Meetings are scheduled at times convenient for the family.
- Magellan has taken steps to ensure that the same care manager follows an assigned child throughout treatment.

Although many of the surveys returned to PIN FST are anonymous, families are informed of the feedback results through bi-monthly mailings to the Delaware County PIN membership.

In conclusion, the FST and the process that has been created for families in Delaware County whose children have behavioral health concerns offer not only a voice, but also protocols for solutions.
New Jersey Partnership for Children — Statewide Approach

Background

The New Jersey Partnership for Children, through the Department of Human Services, was implemented in January 2001. It is a behavioral health carve out, serving a statewide, total population of children and adolescents with emotional and behavioral disorders who depend on public systems of care, and their families. The population includes both Medicaid and non Medicaid-eligible children and includes children with both acute and extended service needs. The State describes the Partnership for Children initiative as: “not a child welfare, mental health, Medicaid or juvenile justice initiative, but one that crosses systems.” The initiative creates a single statewide integrated system of behavioral health care to replace the previously fragmented system in which each child-serving system (i.e., child welfare, juvenile justice, mental health and Medicaid) provided its own set of behavioral health services. The New Jersey Department of Human Services is the state purchaser, and the initiative is being rolled out by county or groups of counties over a five-year period. The goals of the Partnership for Children initiative are to: (1) increase funding for children’s behavioral health care; (2) provide a broader array of services; (3) organize and manage services; and (4) provide care that is based on core values of individualized service planning, family/professional partnership, culturally competent services and a strengths-based approach to care.

New Jersey’s Partnership for Children initiative awarded a non-risk based contract to Value Options to serve as a Contracted Systems Administrator (CSA). Value Options is responsible for critical administrative functions that will enable the state to establish and manage a single system of entry for registering, tracking and coordinating care and service outcomes for children. The CSA will establish a single record for each child and also provide administrative support and technical assistance to the locally organized systems of care.

Principles and Values

The New Jersey Partnership for Children initiative is grounded in long-standing commitment to core principles for organizing and delivering services for children and families that support the dignity and integrity of children, families, and the communities in which they live. These principles and values have driven the ongoing development of existing community-based approaches to service delivery, and continue to drive this Initiative. Those core principles include:

- **Services will be child-centered and strength-based.**
  The Initiative is designed to break down barriers between child-serving systems. It addresses the whole child in all aspects of family and community life, focusing on strengths that support community living and health and social development for children and families.
• **All services and functions will be family-focused and family-friendly.**
The Initiative will engage families as active participants at all levels of planning, organization, and service delivery to build on family strengths and assure the family perspective throughout the entire process of system planning and implementation. All services will be designed to meet family needs for accessibility and will be respectful of family rights and responsibilities.

• **Services will be community-based and culturally competent.**
Child and family needs and strengths are defined culturally. To be effective, all services must address cultural diversity at the community level and deliver care consistent with community strengths and values.

• **All services and functions will be outcomes accountable.**
The Initiative will not simply expand services, though more services will be provided and are certainly needed. The system of care will be accountable for organizing, coordinating and delivering services that result in improved outcomes for children, families, and communities, in targeted DHS policy areas:
  – Permanency of placement and living arrangements
  – Community Safety
  – Mental Health

### Requirements for Family Involvement at the System Level

Families in New Jersey’s Partnership for Children are fully included in all aspects of their systems of care Initiative. At the system level, family members are paid to participate on policy-setting bodies, program management committees and quality assurance activities. Family members who participate at the system level are representatives of family run organizations or are family members from the community. Family members expertise, skills and experiences are seen as essential to the expansion and growth of the Partnership for Children Initiative. The role of parents and other family members and substitute caregivers in individual service planning and development of the system of care continues to expand. To support the expansion of family involvement in service organization, management, and delivery, the Initiative is rolling out across the state one Family Support Organization (FSO) per county or counties.

The New Jersey Department of Human Services provides funding to FSOs at the local level and requires by contract [Care Management Organizations (CMOs)](https://www.caremanagement.org) and FSOs coordinate their efforts. The FSOs hire Family Support Partners who are parents with a child with behavioral health needs to work closely with families served by CMOs. FSOs are family run, county-based, not-for-profit organizations designed to provide the system with a perspective that is uniquely family oriented through peer mentorship, education and advocacy, information/referral and the hosting of peer support groups. The FSO acts as a guide for professionals and as direct peer support to families whose children are enrolled in local CMOs.

### Family Involvement at the Service Delivery Level

FSOs are responsible for serving all families of children with emotional and behavioral problems at the local level through information, advocacy, guidance, group support and volunteer opportunities. FSOs operate “warm lines” which provide over the phone
information, referral and guidance for families in any given county who have resource questions and need for guidance and information about children's mental health and the availability of the services within the Initiative and the FSO specifically. The FSOs serve as local organizations creating support groups and information sessions to bring families together for ongoing companionship, training, problem solving, and networking. The recruitment of volunteers who are parents, caregivers or siblings of children with emotional problems, to give support and offer their unique talents to other family members, is an ongoing and important function of the FSO.

A primary responsibility of the FSO is to serve as peer support to families whose children are receiving services from the CMO. Family support partners, hired by the FSO, are individuals whose children with emotional problems have been or are involved in DHS-sponsored programs. Their experiences as caregivers to children in the State system provide a unique opportunity to offer support and guidance to CMO families based on mutual understanding and the sharing of experiences. Family support partners are present for families who request their assistance, helping to ensure that Individual Service Plans (ISPs) are supportive of family needs and true to the family’s values, beliefs and concerns. Working closely with CMO care managers, family support partners serve as essential comrades to families whose children have the most complex needs. CMO families additionally avail themselves of the FSO for information sessions and skills building opportunities to help them maximize their participation and influence.

FSO staff is responsible for educating local stakeholders about the function and purpose of the FSO, the importance of family/professional partnerships and the central role of the family in providing input and direction in the care and treatment of children with serious mental health disorders. Areas of service delivery that are currently being developed include expansion of FSOs to provide services to families receiving mobil stabilization services and moderate youth case management services. Over time, and as the system matures, it is anticipated that FSOs will become the local one stop shopping location for all families/caregivers of children with emotional problems seeking peer support, educational programs or information and referral.

Family Involvement in the Quality Improvement Process

Another essential role of the FSOs is the monitoring of the Initiative through the state’s Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program (QAPI). Family members serve on all the QAPI committees and provide practical ideas to the quality improvement process. QAPI reviews the entire system, but is specifically targeted to ensuring quality Individualized Serving Planning (ISP) at the local level for children with serious disorders. The QAPI establishes performance benchmarks and assesses quality of system performance. It is supported by an information management system at the CMO level that gathers and organizes information for ISP design and implementation, including QAPI methodology needed to track and monitor critical indicators of successful implementation of structure and process. For example, QAPI includes indicators of family involvement and satisfaction, interagency collaboration, access to community-based services, improved stability in family and other living arrangements and improved child status in key life domains.