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How should EBPs be prioritized?

- States are grappling with scientific and competing stakeholder interests issues related to allocating resources necessary for funding expensive implementation projects.
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  - resources required for implementation & sustainability

Why prioritize EBPs for implementation?

- While the public health goal of increasing the dissemination of effective services to improve routine care is valid:
  - implementation of research-based treatments is not routine (Hoagwood, et al., 2001)
  - statewide implementation requires significant time and fiscal resources
  - for creating change in system, provider, and clinician practices, adopting EBPs for local conditions, practice-related experiences, and specific populations,
  - providing extensive supervision during implementation and afterwards, and monitoring fidelity and outcomes, etc.

  Since implementation of EBPs statewide is a resource intensive activity, only a few can be implemented at a time.

What methods support prioritization of EBPs?

- Stakeholder driven
- Consensus-based
- Process oriented

Process oriented

- gathering background information on available EBPs
- reviewing and discussing background information
- rating each EBP on the matrix

Background Outline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nedd</th>
<th>Describe the target populations for the EBP (across population who might benefit and the level of risk associated with target populations)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health Focus</td>
<td>Describe the problems/diagnoses/themes that are targeted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence</td>
<td>Summarize the evidence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of Implementation</td>
<td>Describe the implementation process (including training requirements, organizational &amp; infrastructure, etc.).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resources</td>
<td>Describe current funding mechanisms used to support the EBP (including funding mechanisms, etc.).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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