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Context/Background

Cluttering “landscape” of psychotherapy practice...

- Increase quality and relevance of research...
  - particularly in area of adolescent behavior problems
- Push for Accountability... “where is the data?”
  - Families, government, managed care
  - Communities seeking services
  - Blueprint Programs
  - Ongoing number of practice standards
- Integration of mental health services as a part of juvenile/adult justice systems

Context/Background

For many “systems” these problems are most costly

- Their problems are ones for which treatment failures
- For practitioners/clinic practices this is the primary reason
- Kids and families in most need not being served
- What we have done has not worked (in general)

Context/Background

- Adentlants are not just delinquents...child welfare cases...but, complicated clinical problems
  - Drug abuse/use
  - Deficiency
  - Conduct disorder
  - Mental health problems
  - Abuse & neglect
- Successful treatment requires:
  - Spectrum of prevention and treatment approaches
  - Coordinated systems of care
  - Systematic treatments address specific issues of these kids and families
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Context/Background

“Externalizing” Behaviors Problems

- For practitioners/clinic practices this is the primary reason for an adolescent to be referred for care
- For many “systems” these problems are most costly and frequent
- Often seen as “difficult to treat”, “difficult to engage”
  - Not many successful intervention programs
  - Kazdin (2003), not uncommon to find dropout rates near 50%
  - Many come from justice systems populations
  - Multiproblem youth and families
  - Their problems are ones for which treatment failures have serious consequences

Context/Background

The Bottom Line... There is a Need...

Kids and families in most need not being served

- Kids in trouble are seen as “bad”
  - Families are seen as lacking responsibility
- These tend to be families that are underserved in many situations
  - People of color
  - Families with few resources...without a voice
  - Communities without resources
- What we have done has not worked (in general)
The Bottom Line....There is no choice...
There is no choice in the work we do....
- Got to bring the best of what is available to youth/family
- Comfortable or not
- Easy or hard
- It is professional...it is ethical...it is moral
- Youth/families deserve it

Externalizing Behavior Disordered Youth
Systematic Family Based Intervention Programs
- All family intervention programs are not the same......all not equal
- Specific, research based, effective intervention programs for externalizing behavior disordered youth
  - Multisystemic Family Therapy
  - Oregon Therapeutic Foster Care
  - Brief Structured Family Therapy
  - Functional Family Therapy

Independent designations of “effective”
- System for Change Center (MST/FFT)
- System for Care General (MST/FT)
- Center for Substance Abuse Prevention/Treatment, (MST/FT/MT/BSFT)
- Blueprint program for Violence Prevention (MST/FT)

What does it take?
Solution is in the integration of research into practice to develop an "integrated" system of care
- services that were integrated (by philosophy and theory)
- evidence based programs
- systematic transition among services
- across the treatment continuum

Great Washington Transformation
- Functional Family Therapy (Therapeutic)
- Functional Family Parole Project (Case Management)
- Ground treatment approach of parole/probation to guide interactions with youth/families
- alignment local engagement focused
- Accountable

3 presentations
1. Washington State: System of care for adolescents in juvenile justice
2. Functional Family Therapy
   - “therapeutic” element of the system
3. Functional Family Parole
   - Monitoring/supervising/case management element
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Community-based Effectiveness Study
FFT Approach to Change

- Family focused...
  - Alliance and involvement with all family members
  - Inital focus is to motivate the family and prevent dropout
- Respectful of individual differences, culture, ethnicity by fitting treatment to the family
- "Match to"
- Aim for Obtainable change...
  - With interventions that are specific & individualized
  - That is focused on risk and protective factors
- Incorporating community resources for maintaining, generalizing, and supporting family change

Community-based Effectiveness Study
FFT Systematic Intervention Program

Therapy is purposeful, systematic, and phasic....

- Purposeful intervention...
  - therapist is goal-directed
  - therapist seeks specific process outcomes
- Change process occurs in Phases
  - Engagement and motivation, behavior change, and generalization
  - Systematic change goals
- Clinically/Family Responsive

Community-based Effectiveness Study
FFT Outcome Research

Functional Family Therapy as an Effective Program

- 30+ years of high quality research in community settings
- Independently conducted reviews
- CSPV, OJJDP, CDC, CSAP, American Youth Policy Forum
- Surgeon General Report on Youth Violence/Mental Health
- Rigorous evaluations, RCT & comparison designs that are community based
- Widely implemented
  - 150+ sites (some individual sites, some state wide projects)
  - Multicultural, multilingual sites (8 languages)
  - Sites that are now more than 5 years out in the implementation
- The outcome of these efforts:
  - Substantial decreases in recidivism, severity of crime, and cost of treatment
  - Sustainable effects, demonstrated repeatedly
  - 3 to 6 years after intervention (In addition, for FFT 3 Yr follow up prevention effects for siblings)
**Community-based Effectiveness Study**

**Washington State Project**

- Statewide dissemination project
- Research-based programs for juvenile offenders
- Randomized Clinical effectiveness study
  - Community-based
  - High external validity with "real" clients, therapists, setting
  - High internal validity
  - High treatment fidelity due to manual-based treatment and systematic treatment intervention
  - Random assignment
  - Range of problem types (delinquency, violence, and drug use/abuse)
  - Conducted by therapists/administrators other than researchers (Institute for Public Policy)
  - Therapist hired/selected by local agencies
  - Socially important/clinically significant outcome measure (recidivism, cost effectiveness)
  - Representative of typical dissemination projects

**Study Groups**

- **FFT treatment group**
  - n=387 families/33 therapists
  - Manualized FFT treatment, training, & supervision
  - Exclusion criteria
    - Only those with 90 days experience included
  - **Control/Treatment as usual group**
    - n=313
    - Monitoring and supervision by probation officer in community

**Sample**

- **Client Profile**
  - Age
    - Before age 12 (2-11) 13.1%
    - Age 12-14 63.8%
    - Age 14-17 23.3%
  - Gender
    - Male 57%
    - Female 43%
  - Age at first offense: 15.9 years

**Outcome Measures**

- Engagement/Dropout
  - Dropout common problem with externalizing behavior disorders of adolescents (adolescent and family)
  - Successful program must engage and get these traditionally resistant family into treatment
- Recidivism
  - Measurable, concrete, clinically significant outcome
  - Specific goal of FFT-change problem behavior
  - Goal of dissemination projects-help community
- Cost Savings
  - Economic outcome
  - Major goal of program choice at community level-dissemination goal
- Therapist competence
  - Can therapist competently deliver the program

**Research Questions:**

- Does FFT work in a setting where the program developers are not involved (non-clinical trial setting)?
- Can an evidence-based family program be successfully implemented with enough consistency and fidelity to reduce recidivism (positive outcomes) while being cost effective (save money)?

**Types of Crimes:**

- Misdemeanors 41.5% (0-10)
- Felony 56.2% (0-10)
- Weapons charge 10.4% (0-4)
Community-based Effectiveness Study

Washington State Project

- Client profile
  - Out of school: 46.39%
  - Gang involved: 36.1%
  - Out of home placement (more than one): 10.51%
  - Runaway (more than once): 14.1%
  - Experienced abuse: 46.04%
  - Risk Factors (Washington State Risk Assessment):
    - Drug Use/abuse: 85.4%
    - Alcohol use/abuse: 80.47%
    - Diagnosed conduct disorder/ODD: 82.00%
    - Mental Health Problems: 27.03%

- Client profile

Outcomes: Engagement and Retention

- Engagement Rate: 84.8%
- Retention Rate: 89.8%
- Dropout rate: 10.2%

Compared to traditional dropout rates of 50% to 70% (Kazdin, 1997)
Compared with recent FFT dropout rates of 22% (Sexton et al, 2001)

Measure of Delivery System

WA State 18 month recidivism rates (adherent therapists)
(Barnoski, 2004)

- Overall Recidivism: 15% reduction*
- Felony Recidivism: 11.2% reduction*
- Violent Recidivism: 45.9% reduction

* Statistically significant results when pre-crime severity controlled

Model Adherence

- 12 Month Felony Recidivism:
  - Control Group Recidivism Rate
  - FFT (done as with high fidelity) saves $16,250 per adolescent
  - FFT (done as with high fidelity—at 30% reduction) save $487,500* per 100 adolescent
  - In this sample $1,121,250 saved (as compared to treatment as usual)*

Therapist Competency Ratings

- Competent: 29%
- Marginal: 29%
- Incompetent: 19%
- Highly Incompetent: 14%

F FT Costs

- Washington State Institute for Public Policy:
  - Cost of FFT (training, implementation, service): $2230
  - Benefits for each dollar of program cost: $13.9
  - Actual costs: $2500
  - $7.50

* Statistically significant outcome

* Based on Aos & Barnowski (1997) Cost-Benefit model (Institute for Public Policy, 1997)
Community-based Effectiveness Study

Conclusions
- Community Setting are complex settings
  - Outside the realm of efficacy randomized clinical trials
  - Realistic, high external validity
  - Randomized sample, high internal validity
  - Groups comparable on all variables (no differences control and FFT)
- High Risk population (crime history, family history, educational risk, and probability of problem)
- Significant Clinical outcomes
  - Results less than studies conducted by clinical research teams (Clinical Trial 27% reduction initially estimated by WSIPP)
  - Recidivism lower with minor crimes (14%) but higher for serious crimes (45%, 49%)
  - Implementation cost data are higher than initial estimates but, still low
  - Cost saving are impressive and significant
  - Success with families is highly dependent on competence of therapist
- Theoretical/Philosophical Basis
  - FFT Philosophy: "working on" rather than "working with"
  - Focus on engagement through alliance
  - Consideration/respect for differences
  - Respect with responsibility
- History of treatment by field
  - "Delinquent kids" are too hard to deal with (?)
  - Fact/power as the basis of help
- Scientific Foundation
  - FFT philosophy works in various settings with various types of kids and families

Foundations of Functional Family Parole

Theoretical/Philosophical Basis
- Historical treatment by field
  - "Delinquent kids" are too hard to deal with (?)
  - Fact/power as the basis of help
- FFT Philosophy
  - Respect with responsibility
  - Consideration/respect for differences
  - Focus on engagement through alliance
  - "working on" rather than "working with"

Scientific Foundation
- FFT philosophy works in various settings with various types of kids and families

Principles from Which FFP developed

Model should be.....
- Family Focused
  - Relationally-based
- Guided by available research evidence
  - Find most applicable research-based principles to use/apply
- Change process based
  - Change as a "process"

Principles (cont'd)

Specific goals, objectives, process and outcomes
- Know what to do, what to do next, and what comes next
- Specificity of “process” of change... “pathway to follow”
- Specific “methods” to follow in reaching goals
- Specific outcomes
- Accountability based...open to quality improvement over time
- Program outcome measures...see if it works
- Measure of interventions actions...accountable to goals and model
- Open to quality improvement efforts

Principles (cont’d)

Empowers and supports probation/parole counselor
- Model to follow
- Training to support learning
- Information from which to learn/develop/improve
- Pathway to follow in their work with kids
- Uses their skill and ability...
- Part of a continuum of care
  - Unified system of services
  - Linked by common language, understanding of kids, understanding of change
  - That can provide coordinated services to youth
To accomplish these principles....

Meet w/ families (vs. working with the youth alone)
Works relentlessly to understand and to respect youth and families on their own terms
Creates motivation based on alliance (vs. fear)
Works hard to create a balanced alliance with everyone in the family (vs. supporting one party over another)
Strives to create credibility (vs. exercising authority)

Why would FFP be effective?

Based on central elements of “best practice” models.....

- Structured program (specific goals & outcomes)
- Built on clear principles and intervention “map”
- Specific techniques for specific goals (know what the treatment is)
- Methods of accountability that lead to program improvement

1. Respect-based
2. Family-based/family focused
3. Alliance-based motivation to change/participate
4. “Minds to” adolescent/family
5. Specific Model—a “map” to follow that guides practice

Outcomes of these principles...

Family motivated to work in a different way because they...

- Different “experience” of working with parole counselor
  - Not the same as home
  - Lower negativity
  - Decrease hopelessness
  - A family-relational focus of the problem
- Worked with someone who helped
  - Overcame obstacles to therapy
  - saw a credible helper
  - was available
- Expectation for the “possibility” of change

Ages of Youth Served

Race/Ethnicity of Youth Served