











- Without controlling for spatial dependence, error terms could potentially be correlated

Modeling the Spatial Relationship

- Spatial Analysis of Variance
 - Model the effects of census tracts characteristics on the proportion of families continuing services
- $\hfill\square$ What characteristics might be associated with service utilization at the tract level?
 - Census 2000 data
 - Unemployment rate
 - Percent of families on public assistance

OLS Regression of Unemployment, Public Assistance, Rural Status and Average Distance to Portal on the Proportion of Eligible Youth Continuing Services by Census Tract in Albany County (N=71)

| | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 |
|---------------------|---------|---------|----------|
| Unemployment | -5.35* | -5.91* | -3.95 |
| % Public Assistance | 3.68 | 3.51 | 3.21 |
| Rural (=1) | | -6.05** | -3.10 |
| Distance | | | -11.66** |
| | | | |
| ADJ R ² | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.22 |
| Moran's I | 0.13* | 0.06 | 0.00 |

*** p < 0.001; **p<0.01; *p<0.05

Results...

10

- □ The results suggest that the spatial regimes in our data can be explained by the average distance that families need to travel to receive services
- Distance may operate in two ways
 - Limited services in this area may increase the number of ineligible families presenting at the rural resource center
 - Longer distances may discourage eligible families from continuing services
 - Lack of transportation

Future Directions

Caveats

- Limited data on rural 'culture' / stigma
- Distance is measured in decimal degrees, the effect in the rural areas could be even more pronounced
 - Fewer roads, less public transit, etc
- Future directions
 - Multi-level multinomial regression models with spatial weights
 - Nest families within their census tract and model individual. community and spatial characteristics contributing to the odds of a family presenting and being eligible and continuing, eligible and not continuing, or ineligible.